

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/637,127	08/08/2003	Nachappa Gopalsami	ANL-IN-02-083	3832		
7590 08/01/2006		EXAMINER				
Joan Pennington			LEVKOVICH, NATALIA A			
Unit #1804			ADTIBUT	DA DED MIMOED		
535 North Michigan Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Chicago, IL 60611			1743	1743		
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2000	DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	_	 <u>.                                    </u>	

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/637,127	GOPALSAMI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Natalia Levkovich	1743

	Natalia Levkovich	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, at otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee.  The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complicing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•		00000
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		••	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	-	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		Alan ali e El a di anno modure.	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .		rill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <i>NONE</i> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13-20.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appory and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after (	entry is below or attack	nea.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13.  Other:			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented by the Applicant have been previously addressed in the final office action..

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700